

ADDENDUM TO
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: 94-02894
INDEX CODE: 131.01

COUNSEL: None

MAR 20 1999

HEARING DESIRED: No

RESUME OF CASE

On 7 July 1998, the Board considered and denied the applicant's 24 November 1997 application requesting that: (1) The Promotion Recommendation Form (PRF) for Calendar Year 1992A (CY92A) be upgraded to a Definitely Promote (DP). (2) His record be corrected to reflect selection to colonel as if selected in-the-promotion-zone by the CY92A Colonel board. (3) In the alternative, he be considered for promotion to the grade of colonel by Special Selection Board (SSB) for the CY92A Colonel Selection Board. A complete copy of the Record of Proceedings is attached at Exhibit G.

On 10 January 1999, the applicant submitted additional documentation and his case was reopened. The applicant requests that: (1) The PRF for CY92A be upgraded to a DP; and (2) he be considered for promotion to the grade of colonel by SSB for the CY92A Colonel Selection Board.

In support of the appeal, applicant submits a statement from the Management Level Evaluation Board (MLEB) President, dated 18 March 1996 and a statement from the Senior Rater, dated 12 October 1998.

Applicant's complete submission is attached at Exhibit H.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of probable error or injustice. After reviewing the documentation provided with this appeal, we note that the statement from the Management Level Evaluation Board (MLEB) President was previously submitted with the applicant's original request. In regard to the new statement provided by the senior rater now supporting a Definitely Promote (DP) in the applicant's overall promotion recommendation, the Board is unclear as to what the senior rater now knows that he did not when he provided his previous statement. In his previous statement, the senior rater

states that "...the removal of the two OERs has not significantly strengthened your record in my view. I don't see the change sufficient to put you 'over the top' for a DP." The Board recognizes that over time opinions change, however, the senior rater in his latest statement does not explain why he now supports a DP where before he could not do so. In view of the foregoing, we find no basis upon which to recommend favorable action on this application.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice; that the applicant was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered this application in Executive Session, on 18 February 1998, under the provisions of AFR 31-3:

Mrs. Barbara A. Westgate, Panel Chair
Mr. Timothy A. Beyland, Member
Mr. Steven A. Shaw, Member

All members voted to correct the records, as recommended. The following documentary evidence was considered:

- Exhibit G. ROP, dated 24 July 1998, w/atchs.
- Exhibit H. Applicant's letter, dated 10 January 1999, w/atchs.



BARBARA A. WESTGATE
Panel Chair